

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/558,529	04/26/2000	Michael D. Stokes	203298	9677	
23460	7590 01/30/2004		EXAMINER		
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			BHAT, ADITYA S		
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE		3 4900	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I	L 60601-6780		2863		
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/558,529	STOKES, MICHAEL D.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examin r	Art Unit	1.4/			
	Aditya S Bhat	2863	MW			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):	,				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		,				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet						

Continuation of 10. Other: This action is In response to the applicants arguments received on 29 December 2003. Applicant states that the Examiner set forth a new grounds of rejection in the final office action. However, upon inspection of the previous actions it was noted that the rejection made on claims 23-25 did not change, therefore the finality is deemed proper. Regarding claims 19-20 & 23-25, the previous rejection still stands as it is the Examiners belief that the Lippincott reference reads on the claimed invention. If applicant were to amend claims 19 and 23 in order to overcome the previous rejection, the case maybe in condition for allowance.

John Barlow Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800